

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/782,762	02/23/2004	Jacob Richter	02600/48004	7647	
23838 75	90 01/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON			WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE		
	T, N.W., SUITE 700		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
			3763		

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		58
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/782,762	RICHTER, JACOB	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	. Art Unit	
	Catherine S. Williams	3763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess
Period for Reply		NTU(O) FDOM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication. The reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH that the cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this comm IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>6</u>	06 October 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.		1
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		the Examiner.	·
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eian priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	g., p,	(-,/ (-,/ - : (-,/	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	•	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in App	olication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been re	ceived in this National St	age
application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	ceived.	
	-		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date	FO)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	3/08) 5)	rmal Patent Application (PTO-1)	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/782,762 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

a) Figure. 1,

e) Figure 5,

b) Figure 2,

f) Figure 6, and

c) Figure 3,

g) Figure 7.

d) Figure 4,

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to John Altmiller on 1/13/2005 to request an oral election to

the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-4970.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Catherine S. Williams

January 19, 2005